

Appln. No. 10/654,956
Amdt. dated June 30, 2006
Reply to Office action of June 19, 2006

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the examiner's action mailed June 9, 2006.

The examiner has advised the response filed June 9, 2006, is non-compliant as incorrect claim status identifiers were used.

We enclose a corrected section of the non-compliant amendment consisting of a new claim listing with proper claim status identifiers.

All other sections of the response filed June 9, 2006, including argument and inventor Declaration are to remain of record.

In view of the fact that the non-compliant amendment was an amendment following a non-final office action, and one month has been given to respond, it is believed that no further request for an extension of time is required. However, if this is not the case, then applicant requests an additional one month extension and the Office is authorized to deduct the sum from our firm deposit account No., 02-2095.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,
Bereskin & Parr

By 
H. Roger Hart, Reg. No. 26,426
(416) 957-1691